



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/599,948	06/23/2000	Simon Furmidge	367.38669X00	8956	
20457 75	90 11/03/2004	EXAMINER			
	, TERRY, STOUT & F	TRAN, P.	TRAN, PABLO N		
1300 NORTH S SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STREE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-9889		2685		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/599,94	-8	FURMIDGE, SIMON				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Pablo N T	ran	2685				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. i0) days, a reply within the statuatutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
·	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)☐ This action is n for allowance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□	·_ ···							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Capital C	: a) accepted or b) ection to the drawing(s) by the correction is require	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	` '			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 06/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant's stated that "Horie et al. fails to suggest that the claims requires a modulator including means for rectifying the input local oscillator signal provides to a first port to provide a conductance waveform at a multiple of the LO and means for mixing..." and "Otaka do not disclose a modulator with a gain control". In response to the Applicant's argument, the limitations on which the Applicant relies are not stated in the claim.

The Applicant's stated that "Horie et al. fails to suggest a means to provide a conductance waveform at a frequency multiple of the local oscillator signal". In response to the Applicant's argument, Horie et al. disclosed such means (see fig. 5/no. 17, col. 63-65).

The Applicant's stated that "Horie et al. fails to explicitly disclose the LO being provided at an even multiplication without the citation or prior art. In response to the Applicant's argument, the examiner provides the prior art (see *Damgaard et al.,* fig. 8/no. 320, col. 11/ln. 44-55) to show that such method is well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2685

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Horie et al.* (5,568,098) in view of *Otaka* (6,215,989).

As per claims 1 and 7, *Horie et al.* disclose a transmitter for a portable radio device comprising a modulator including a switching circuit, having a first port for inputting a baseband signal and a second port for inputting a local oscillator signal to the switching circuit which provide a conductance waveform at a frequency multiple of

Art Unit: 2685

the local oscillator signal for up-converting the baseband signal to a radio frequency modulated carrier (fig. 5, col. 3/ln. 58-col. 4/ln. 67).

Horie et al. do not explicitly disclose such controls the gain of the modular to control the output level of the modulator. However, such gain control method of the modulator is well known in the art, as disclosed by *Otaka* (fig. 6-10, col. 7/ln. 29-col. 10/ln. 48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such method of gains control, as taught by *Otaka*, to the transmitter of *Horie et al.* to control input amplitude signal at an optimum gain level while minimizing the reduction of the S/N ratio.

As per claims 2 and 8, the modified systems of *Horie et al.* disclose a local oscillator signal drives the switching means at a multiple of its frequency (see *Horie et al.*, fig. 5/no. 17, col. 3/ln. 58-col. 4/ln. 67).

As per claims 3 and 9, the modified systems of *Horie et al.* disclose means for controlling the gain of the modulator comprises current control means (see *Otaka*, fig. 6-10, col. 7/ln. 29-col. 10/ln. 48).

4. Claims 4-5 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Horie et al.* (5,568,098) in view of *Otaka* (6,215,989) and further in view of *Hickman* (LTPs and active double balanced mixers, vol. 99, no. 1683, pg 126-128).

As per claims 4-5 and 10-11, the modified systems of *Horie et al.* do not specifically disclosed the transmitter having two cross-connected long tail pairs of bipolar transistors. *Hickman* disclosed such cross-connected long tail pairs of bipolar transistors. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 2685

provide cross-connected long tail pairs of bipolar transistors, as discussed in *Hickman*, to the transmitter of the modified systems of *Horie et al.* to minimized out-of-band emissions in a subsequent mixing with a carrier signal to generate a frequency modulated signal.

5. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Horie et al.* (5,568,098) in view of *Otaka* (6,215,989) and further in view of *Damgaard et al.* (6,526,265).

As per claims 6 and 12, as stated above in claim 1, the modified systems of *Horie et al.* do not explicitly disclosed the LO signal is provided at an even multiplication. However, such is well known in the art, as disclose by *Damgaard et al.* (fig. 8/no. 320, col. 11/ln. 44-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the reference frequency generator at even multiplication in order to expand the communication system application to have better flexibility or more versatility so that various reference frequency generators can be used for the FM transmitter systems.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Damgaard et al. (6,633,751), Laub et al. (56,255,912), and Hell mark et al. (5,982,233) disclose radiotelephone communication system.

Art Unit: 2685

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N.TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 1, 2004

Avrios .